

**FACULTY OF 1000
BIOLOGY**

MAJOR ADVANCES. EXPERT OPINIONS.

**BIOLOGY
REPORTS**NEW from Faculty of 1000
Fast reports on hot topics

My F1000 | Browse | Top 10s | Advanced Search | My Details | About | Faculty Members | Associate FMs | F1000 Reports

Recommended

F1000 Factor **3.0**

EndNote

Download citation

Send page by email

Ultrahigh-throughput screening in drop-based microfluidics for directed evolution.

Agresti JJ, Antipov E, Abate AR, Ahn K, Rowat AC, Baret JC, Marquez M, Klibanov AM, Griffiths AD, Weitz DA

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010 Mar 2 **107**(9):4004-9 [abstract on PubMed]

[citations on Google Scholar] [related articles] [full text] [order article]

Selected by | Petr Capek and Michal Lebl

Evaluated 12 Mar 2010

Relevant Sections

Faculty Comments & Author Responses**Faculty Member****Comments**

Petr Capek and

Michal LeblIllumina Inc, United States
of America

Chemical Biology

Tech Advance

In this paper, the authors blended several techniques and developed a valuable microfluidic system for directed evolution of enzymes relying on encapsulation of an enzyme variant and its substrate in water microdroplets and its subsequent rapid sorting based on activity.

Encapsulation of an individual enzyme variant and its coding gene in aqueous microdroplets dispersed in oil represents one of the ways to link genotype and phenotype in directed evolution experiments {1}. This link was successfully used in several selection experiments, where an active enzyme catalyzes a reaction on its own gene's handle, allowing for recovery of the gene from a pool of variants upon breaking of the water-in-oil emulsion. Unlike selection, in screening experiments, where the activity of each individual clone can be read, more insight into the statistical distribution of the variant's activities can be obtained, resulting in better control over the experiment. Indeed, the limited speed of the screening is the major trade-off for this higher degree of control. The technique described in the present paper is able to screen and sort droplets based on fluorescence at speeds of over 2000 droplets/sec, allowing screening of 10^8 variants in about 10 hours. The enzyme in question (here, horseradish peroxidase) is displayed on yeast and the individual yeast cell is encapsulated in a microdroplet together with the enzyme's substrate. During in-line incubation, the enzyme converts the substrate into fluorescent product and droplets are subsequently actively sorted based on their fluorescence. The whole process from droplet formation to sorting can take place on the same chip. Similar sorting of water-in-oil droplets by flow cytometry (FACS) has been demonstrated before {2}, but, in this case, the whole process is somewhat cumbersome, as emulsion has to be converted into double emulsion, water-in-oil-in-water, prior to sorting. From the information presented in the paper, the described sorting device can be relatively inexpensive (\$10,000, including computer and software). In addition, the sorting chip is disposable, thus helping to prevent sample cross-contamination.

References: {1} Taly et al. *Chembiochem* 2007, 8:263-72 [PMID:17226878]. {2} Mastrobattista et al. *Chem Biol* 2005, 12:1291-300 [PMID:16356846].

Competing interests: None declared
Evaluated 12 Mar 2010

[How to cite this evaluation](#)

Faculty Comments & Author Responses

How to cite the Faculty of 1000 Biology evaluation(s) for this paper

1) To cite all the evaluations for this article:

Faculty of 1000 Biology: evaluations for Agresti JJ et al *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010 Mar 2 107 (9) :4004-9 <http://f1000biology.com/article/id/2417957/evaluation>

2) To cite an evaluation by a specific Faculty member:

Michal Lebl: Faculty of 1000 Biology, 12 Mar 2010 <http://f1000biology.com/article/id/2417957/evaluation>